arXiv:hep-ph/9307269v1 14 Jul 1993

TTP93–14
May 1993

TAU KINEMATICS FROM IMPACT PARAMETERS [1]

J.H. Kühn

Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik
Universität Karlsruhe
Kaiserstr. 12, Postfach 6980
7500 Karlsruhe 1, Germany

Abstract

The momenta of τ decay products in the reaction $e^+e^- \to \tau^+\tau^-$ do not constrain the τ direction unambiguously. It is shown how the measurement of tracks of hadrons from semileptonic τ decays, in particular their relative impact parameters, allows to resolve this ambiguity.

---

[1] Supported by BMFT Contract 056KA93P

The analysis of τ pair production in electron-positron annihilation has advanced considerably during the past years as a consequence of increasing statistics combined with improved detector performance [1]. τ decays into hadrons were particularly useful. On the one hand they allow for determination of the τ coupling to the (virtual) W and the Z, on the other hand they lead to a measurement of the structure functions [2, 3] and subsequently of the form factors. However, the kinematic configuration of these events cannot be fully reconstructed since the momenta of both ν_τ and $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ are unknown. The resulting loss of information can be recovered only partially by measuring appropriately chosen energy, momentum, and angular distributions [4]– [8].

In events where both leptons decay semileptonically and where all hadron momenta are determined, the original τ direction can be reconstructed up to a twofold ambiguity [9, 4, 7]. In this paper we show that this can be resolved with the help of vertex detectors employed in present experiments. Several possibilities may arise:

i.) If the beam spot is large compared to the typical impact parameter, the production vertex is unknown. Let us assume that both τ decay into one charged hadron each and that both charged tracks can be measured with high precision. The direction $\vec{d}_{min}$ of the minimal distance between the two nonintersecting charged tracks (Fig.1) resolves the ambiguity and introduces two additional constraints that can be used to reduce the measurement errors. The τ^+ and τ^- decay points and their original direction of flight are then uniquely determined.

ii.) Precise knowledge of the beam axis (corresponding to a beam spot of negligible size) leads to a further constraint resulting from the requirement that the reconstructed τ axis and the beam axis intersect. If the production point would be known in addition then the momenta plus one charged track from the decay of only one τ would allow to reconstruct the event and double semileptonic decays would lead to a large number of additional constraints.

iii.) If one (or both) τ decay into several hadrons and if all momenta and two tracks (one from each side) are measured, the same reconstruction can be performed.

Let us first consider case *i)* where all relevant aspects can be explained most clearly. The angles $\theta^L_\pm$ between the $\tau^\pm$ and the hadron $h^\pm$ directions respectively as defined in the lab frame are given by the energies of h^+ and h^- [4]:

$$\cos\theta^L_- = \frac{\gamma x_- - (1+r_-^2)/2\gamma}{\beta\sqrt{\gamma^2 x_-^2 - r_-^2}} \tag{1}$$

$$\sin\theta^L_- = \sqrt{\frac{(1-r_-^2)^2/4 - (x_- - (1+r_-^2)/2)^2/\beta^2}{\gamma^2 x_-^2 - r_-^2}} \tag{2}$$

$$x_- = E_{h^-}/E_\tau \qquad\qquad r_- = m_{h^-}/m_\tau \tag{3}$$

and similarly for $\cos\theta_+^L$ and sp. The velocity β, and the boost factor γ refer to the τ in the lab frame.

The original τ^- direction must therefore lie on the cone of opening angle θ_-^L around the direction of h^- and on the cone of opening angle θ_+^L around the reflected direction of h^+. The extremal situation where θ_+^L or θ_-^L assume the values 0 or π, or where the two cones touch in one line, lead to a unique solution for the τ direction. In general a twofold ambiguity arises as is obvious from this geometric argument. The cosine of the relative azimuthal angle φ between the directions of h^+ and h^- denoted by $\vec{n}_+$ and $\vec{n}_-$ can be calculated from the momenta and energies of h^+ and h^- as follows: In the coordinate frame (see Fig.1) with the z axis pointing along the direction of τ^- and with $\vec{n}_-$ in the xz plane and positive x component

$$\frac{\vec{p}_-}{|\vec{p}_-|} \equiv \vec{n}_- = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta_-^L \\ 0 \\ \cos\theta_-^L \end{pmatrix} \qquad \frac{\vec{p}_+}{|\vec{p}_+|} \equiv \vec{n}_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta_+^L\cos\varphi \\ \sin\theta_+^L\sin\varphi \\ -\cos\theta_+^L \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

and $\cos\varphi$ can be determined from

$$\vec{n}_-\vec{n}_+ = -\cos\theta_-^L\cos\theta_+^L + \sin\theta_-^L\sin\theta_+^L\cos\varphi \tag{5}$$

The well-known twofold ambiguity in φ is evident from this formula.

Additional information can be drawn from the precise determination of tracks close to the production point. Three-prong decays allow to reconstruct the decay vertex and the ambiguity can be trivially resolved.

However, also single-prong events may serve this purpose. Let us first consider decays into one charged hadron on each side. Their tracks and in particular the vector $\vec{d}_{min}$ of closest approach (Fig.1) can be measured with the help of microvertex detectors. The vector pointing from the τ_- to the τ_+ decay vertex

$$\vec{d} \equiv \vec{\tau}_+ - \vec{\tau}_- = -l \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

is oriented by definition into the negative z direction ($l > 0$). The vector $\vec{d}_{min}$ can on the one hand be measured, on the other hand calculated from $\vec{d}$, $\vec{n}_+$ and $\vec{n}_-$:

$$\vec{d}_{min} = \vec{d} + [(\vec{d}\vec{n}_+\,\vec{n}_+\vec{n}_- - \vec{d}\vec{n}_-)\vec{n}_- + (\vec{d}\vec{n}_-\,\vec{n}_+\vec{n}_- - \vec{d}\vec{n}_+)\vec{n}_+]/(1-(\vec{n}_-\vec{n}_+)^2) \tag{7}$$

The sign of the projection of $\vec{d}_{min}$ on $\vec{n}_+ \times \vec{n}_-$ then determines the sign of φ and hence resolves the ambiguity.

$$\vec{d}_{min}(\vec{n}_+ \times \vec{n}_-) = l\sin\theta_+^L\sin\theta_-^L\sin\varphi \tag{8}$$

The length of the projection determines l and hence provides a measurement of the lifetimes of τ_+ plus τ_-. Exploiting the fact that $\vec{d}\vec{n}_- = -l\cos\theta_-^L$ and $\vec{d}\vec{n}_+ = l\cos\theta_+^L$ the direction of $\vec{d}$ can be geometrically constructed by inverting (7):

$$\vec{d}/l = \vec{d}_{min}/l - [(\cos\theta_+^L\,\vec{n}_+\vec{n}_- + \cos\theta_-^L)\vec{n}_- + (-\cos\theta_-^L\,\vec{n}_+\vec{n}_- - \cos\theta_+^L)\vec{n}_+]/(1-(\vec{n}_-\vec{n}_+)^2) \tag{9}$$

In addition two constraint equations may be derived by comparing $\vec{d}_{min}/l$ as calculated from the h_+ and h_- tracks with the direction calculated from (7) with the help of θ_+^L, θ_-^L and l. These might be used to constrain the events even in cases where initial state radiation distorts the simple kinematics described above.

As stated before, the locations of both τ_+ and τ_- decay vertices in space are then fixed. If the beam axis is known with high precision (high compared to the decay length l) the lines between the two decay vertices and the beam axis intersect, providing one additional constraint.

The generalization of this method to decays into multihadron states with one or several neutrals is straightforward: θ_+^L, θ_-^L and $\cos\varphi$ are fixed by the hadron momenta as stated above. Only one of the two solutions for φ is then compatible with $\vec{d}_{min}$ measured directly with the help of vertex detectors.

In summary: the measurement of hadron momenta in events of the type $e^+e^- \to \tau^-(\to h^-\nu)\tau^+(\to h^+\bar{\nu})$ combined with an accurate determination of even one charged track on either side allows for a full reconstruction of the τ direction. A full analysis of angular distributions in semileptonic decays involving τ and hadron momenta as discussed in the appendix of [3] therefore appears feasible.

References

[1] P. Drell, XXVI Int. Conf. on HEP, Dallas, August 1992, and refs. therein.

[2] J.H. Kühn and E. Mirkes, *Phys. Lett.* **B 286** (1992) 381.

[3] J.H. Kühn and E. Mirkes, *Z. Phys.* **C 56** (1992) 661.

[4] J.H. Kühn and F. Wagner, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 236** (1984) 16.

[5] K. Hagiwara, A.D. Martin and D. Zeppenfeld, *Phys. Lett.* **B 235** (1990) 198.

[6] A. Rougé, Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics, Orsay 1990,
M. Davier and B. Jean-Marie, eds, Editions Frontiéres(1991)213.

[7] M. Davier et al.,preprint LAL92-73.

[8] P. Privitera, preprint, IEKP-KA/93-02.

[9] P. Tsai and A.C. Hearn, *Phys. Rev.* **140** (1965) 721.

Figure 1:
Kinematic configuration indicating the relative orientation of the hadronic tracks, the τ directions and the vector $\vec{d}_{min}$.